

Padgett, Marianne

From: Padgett, Marianne
Sent: Thursday, July 12, 2007 1:38 PM
To: McAllister, Steve
Subject: FW: 10/809,501, restriction being mailed

Hi,

I'm trying to find the appropriate place for the apparatus claims in this case, directed to enclosures affecting drying for web substrates. Both sets of claims have a dependent claim to a downstream blow dryer. I've split the apparatus in two groups,

would for group II, claims 21-22 (claims guide rollers for vertical & horizontal orientations) class/sub 34/619 or 620 or 554 be appropriate &

would for group IV, claims 38-41 (drying device = heating means, probably in the enclosure) would class/sub 34/623+ or 554 be appropriate,

if not where would you recommend & if either of the apparatus is elected, would you except transfer ?

Thank you for your time,
Mimi Padgett
AU 1762

-----Original Message-----

From: Lamb, Brenda
Sent: Thursday, July 12, 2007 9:25 AM
To: Padgett, Marianne
Subject: RE: 10/809,501, restriction being mailed

Looks like this belongs class 34/618.ccls. since it does not claim a coating device in combination with the drying device. See US Patent 7,100,302 and 4,475,293.

-----Original Message-----

From: Padgett, Marianne
Sent: Wednesday, July 11, 2007 1:31 PM
To: Lamb, Brenda
Subject: 10/809,501, restriction being mailed

<< File: Election Restriction.doc >> Brenda, here's another one where the restriction is being mailed. It's between two sets of method & apparatus claims & I wish to determine/confirm where the apparatus claims would go if they are elected (significantly lesser number of apparatus claims, so applicants will probably elect method). I said the apparatus were in class 118/58+ or more specifically subs 67 for group II & 61 for group IV. Is this correct & if apparatus (either) is elected will AU 1734 except transfer?

Thank you,
Mimi Padgett
AU 1762